

///

- 1. The above entitled action shall be dismissed with prejudice as to all pending procedural matters currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, including, but not limited to, Rothman's motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint under Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Creditors Adjustment Bureau Inc.'s motion to compel arbitration or, in the alternative, to stay proceedings pending completion of arbitration, both of which are set for hearing on May 30, 2008 in Department 7 of this Court.
- 2. The above-entitled action shall be dismissed without prejudice to the rights of plaintiff's assignor, Hannspree North America, Inc., to continue to litigate its substantive claims and defenses arising out of, relating to, or connected with the business relationship between Hannspree and M. Rothman & Co. in the Superior Court of New Jersey (Bergen County), including, but not limited to, all claims previously asserted by Rothman in the New Jersey case and by Hannspree, through its assignee, in the California case as agreed under the New Jersey Consent Order.
- 3. The parties stipulate and submit that the dismissal of this action as set forth above is in the best interests of the parties and promotes judicial economy.
- 4. All previously scheduled hearings in this Court which are pending as a matter of record, including, but not limited to, the Initial Case Management Conference (CMC) set for June 27, 2008 at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 7, are hereby vacated.

	Case 3:08-cv-01431-MMC	Document 21	Filed 05/19/2008	Page 3 of 4
4				
1	5. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees relating			
2	to and arising out of the California action.			
3				
4	Dated: May <u>13</u> , 2008	LAW OF	FICES OF KENNE	ETH J. FREED
5	BY: KENNETH J. FREED, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff, CREDITORS ADJUSTMENT BUREAU, INC.			
6				
7				
8				
9	Dated: May 15, 2008 CHASSMAN & SEELIG, LLP BY: Male Chales RHONDA E.KALEY, ESO Attorneys for Defendant, M. ROTHMAN & CO., INC.			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16	ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21	DATED:			
22		HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
23			U.S. DISTING	1 COOK! 10202
24				
25				
2627				
28				
20				
			2	

STIPHILATION AND IPROPOSEDI ORDER DISMISSING CASE BY CONSENT

Document 21

Filed 05/19/2008

Page 4 of 4

Case 3:08-cv-01431-MMC